

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alcxundria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,817	09/17/2003		Barry Reisberg	1049-1-034N	3446
23565	7590	06/22/2005	EXAMINER		INER
KLAUBER & JACKSON 411 HACKENSACK AVENUE			ROYDS, LESLIE A		
HACKENSA				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	-			1614	

DATE MAILED: 06/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR I PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
			-	
			EXAMINER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER
				06172005
	•		DATE MAILED:	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner for Patents** 

Please see attached.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/664,817	REISBERG, BARRY
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Leslie A. Royds	1614
All Participants:	Status of Application: Pe	nding
(1) <u>Leslie A. Royds</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Veronica Mallon</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>17 June 2005</u>	Time: <u>AM</u>	•
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
None.		
Claims discussed:		
None.		
Prior art documents discussed: None.		•
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul>	ne examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Ron 1/dy		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representative S	gnature - if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Ms. Mallon called to ask where "salicylates" would fall in the groups of second agents set forth in the written restriction requirement, since she could not locate it in the list of choices of group B. Examiner confirmed that the agent "salicylates" was inadvertently omitted from the subject matter of group XVIII. Examiner stated that should Applicant wish to choose "salicylates" as the second agent, then the choice of XVIII is appropriate. Ms. Mallon agreed and will contact Examiner with any further questions.

RAYMOND HENLEY III PRIMARY EXAMINER

PININA